

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/847,045	05/01/2001	Philip Ted Kortum	8285/431	5221	
	757 7.	590 01/02/2003				
		FER GILSON & LIO	NE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3629		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Application No.	tion No. Applicant(s)			
		09/847,045	KORTUM, PHILIP TED			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Igor Borissov	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>	<u>1ay 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) 🗌 .	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr	ndemark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/847,045

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 8-9, 12-15, 16-17 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by "SBC global network DSL: Customer Self Install" (Document), which appears to be published on August, 2000.

The Document teaches customer self installation DSL kit and method of installing thereof, comprising:

As per claim 1,

- a digital subscriber line modem (page 4);
- at least one digital subscriber line filter (page 1);
- a computer-readable medium whose contents comprise computer-readable connection software code (pages 1, 5, 8 and 9);
- a set of instructions which describes a unified installation process for the digital subscriber line modem, the at least one digital subscriber line filter (pages 3 and 5);
- the computer-readable connection software code, wherein the unified installation process requires at most one operating system selection step by a user (pages 3 and 5).

As per claims 8 and 16,

Application/Control Number: 09/847,045

Art Unit: 3629

- a network card (page 4);
- a digital subscriber line modem (page 4);
- at least one digital subscriber line filter; (page 1);
- a computer-readable medium whose contents comprise computer-readable connection software code; (pages 1, 5, 8 and 9);
- an instruction manual which describes a unified installation process for the network card, the digital subscriber line modem, the at least one digital subscriber line filter, and the computer-readable connection software code, wherein the unified installation process requires at most one operating system selection step by a user (pages 3 and 5).

As per claims 2, 9 and 17, said kit and method, wherein the unified installation process described within the instruction manual requires no operating system selection step by the user (pages 5, 8 and 9).

As per claims 5-7, 12-15 and 20, said kit and method, wherein the instruction manual provides a point of contact telephone number associated with the digital subscriber line modem, the digital subscriber line filter or the computer-readable connection software code (pages 3, 5 and 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 3-4, 10-11 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over the Document.

As per claims 3-4, 10-11 and 18-19, said Document teaches all the limitations of claims

3-4, 10-11 and 18-19, except for the container which contains said kit.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Document to

include the container, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the

invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of the Document would perform

the invention as claimed by the applicant with or without a container.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at

telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

Application/Control Number: 09/847,045

Art Unit: 3629

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mich